

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/009,447	04/03/2002	Michael S. South	PHA 4159.26	1709
321 75	90 05/21/2003			
SENNIGER POWERS LEAVITT AND ROEDEL ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE 16TH FLOOR STLOUES MO. (2102)			EXAMINER	
			BALASUBRAMANIAN, VENKATARAMAN	
ST LOUIS, MO	ST LOUIS, MO 63102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1624	`
			DATE MAILED: 05/21/2003)

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/009,447	SOUTH ET AL.			
omoo Aodon odininary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this commun	Venkataraman Balasubramania				
Period for Reply	ication appears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stath in the set of extended period for reply. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months at earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b unication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) stutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS f will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDO	to e timely filed days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)			
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on				
	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
Closed in accordance with the practi	ice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11	1, 453 O.G. 213.			
4) Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the a	application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	·				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 1-50 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	and and dissilative quite ment.				
9) The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	·				
a) The translation of the foreign lang	guage provisional application has been r	received.			
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo Attachment(s)	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 1	20 and/or 121.			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) 🗔 Intentions Summ	120/ (PTO 412) Paper No(a)			
2) Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Page 1997 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	O-948) 5) Notice of Information	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 7			

Application/Control Number: 10/009,447

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-50 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-50, drawn to compound of formula shown in claim 1 and others wherein

M is N, namely 1,2,4-triazine, composition and method of use, classified in class

544, subclass 182, class 514, subclass 242.

II. Claims 1-53, drawn to compound of formula shown in claim o1 and others

wherein M is R¹-C namely pyrimidine, composition and method of use, classified

in class 544, subclasses 319, 320, 321 etc depending upon choice of other

substituents, class 514 subclasses 269, 272 etc. depending upon choice of other

substituents.

Applicants should note that claim 28 recites a compound, which is not generically

included in claim 25 or claim 2. It appears to be a typographical/drawing error.

Hence it is included in the above restriction as it is dependent on claim 25.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Group I and II are independent and distinct from each other because they are

directed to structurally dissimilar compounds that lack common core such as 1,2,4-

triazine vs pyrimidine core. Consequently, the groups have different classifications and

require separate prior art searches. They can be made and used independently. Art,

which may render obvious or anticipate one of the groups would not necessarily do the

same for the other group as evidenced by the references cited in the Information

Application/Control Number: 10/009,447

Art Unit: 1624

Disclosure Statement provided by the applicants. Each can support a patent, as the compounds of each group are capable of being utilized alone not in combination with other members listed in the Markush group. Placing all such compounds into the same claims is proper to scientific classification as they are separately classified and require separate searches. Furthermore, it would be of immense search burden to search both classes together with various substituents embraced in the instant claims 1-50, which run through pages 177 to 283. With limited time available for the examiner per case it would not be possible to a thorough search.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: See claim 8 which recites 1,2,4-triazinyl as well as pyrimidinyl species.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, 1-7 and 9-50 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/009,447

Art Unit: 1624

٢

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

In view of distinct nature of each of the inventions, the restriction is set forth in writing.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1624

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be addressed to Venkataraman Balasubramanian (Bala) whose telephone number is (703) 305-1674. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8.00 AM to 6.00 PM. The Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) of the art unit 1624 is Mukund Shah whose telephone number is (703) 308-4716.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

V. Palasubramoura. Venkataraman Balasubramanian

5/20/2003.